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## Soundness Test and Key Requirements

## Vision and Objectives

Has the LPA clearly identified what the issues are that the DPD is seeking to address? Have priorities been set so that it is clear what the DPD is seeking to achieve?
Does the DPD contain clear vision(s) and objectives which are specific to the place? Is there a direct relationship between the identified issues, the vision(s) and the objectives?
Is it clear how the policies will meet the objectives? Are there any obvious gaps in the policies, having regard to the objectives of the DPD?

Have reasonable alternatives to the quantum of development and overall spatial strategy been considered?
Are the policies internally consistent?
Are there realistic timescales related to the objectives?

Does the DPD explain how its key policy objectives will be achieved?

Possible Evidence

## Evidence Provided

- Sections of the DPD and other documents which set out (where applicable) the vision, strategic objectives, key outcomes expected, spatial portrait and issues to be addressed.
- Relevant sections of the DPD which explain how policies derive from the objectives and are designed to meet them.
- The strategic objectives of the DPD, and the commentary in the DPD of how they derive from the spatial portrait and vision, and how the objectives are consistent with one another.
- Sections of the DPD which address delivery, the means of delivery and the timescales for key developments through evidenced infrastructure delivery planning.
- Confirmation from the relevant agencies that they support the objectives and the identified means of delivery.
- Information in the local development scheme, or provided separately, about the scope and content (actual and intended) of each DPD showing how they combine to provide a coherent policy structure.

Section 1 of the Waste Management DPD sets out the key issues that the Council will need to address in the Plan's Vision, Objectives and Policies, contained in later section of the DPD.

The 'Plan's Objectives are also clearly linked to each policy within the DPD to identify how each policy will help achieve the objective.

These issues were identified at the beginning of the plan preparation in the Issues and Options Consultation Document [WM-SD-038]. The Preferred Approach [WM-SD-027] and Preferred Approach Revised Chapter 5 [WM-SD-021] was developed containing a refined vision and objectives and policies that follow directly from these issues and options. A vision and draft objectives have always been present for scrutiny through consultation and the Sustainability Appraisal process.

Reasonable alternatives to quantum and spatial strategy have been considered through: -

Issues and Options - this contained a number of spatial options for the strategic management of waste within Bradford District. WM-SD-038

A number of options relating to forecasts and capacity requirement were considered in the Waste Needs Assessment, Capacity Gap Analysis and Requirement Study and presented during informal consultation. WM-SD-047. WM-SD-048.

The site selection process refined the site allocated for future waste management facilities. A detailed assessment methodology has been developed throughout the plan making
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Policies in Local Plans should follow the approach of the presumption in favour of sustainable development so that it is clear that development which is sustainable can be approved without delay. All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development, with clear policies that will guide how the presumption should be applied locally. | - A policy or policies which reflect the principles of the presumption in favour of sustainable development (see model policy at www.planningportal.gov.uk) | The Waste Management DPD is in conformity with the Core Strategy. Policy P1 of the Core Strategy reflects the principles of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. |
| Objectively assessed needs <br> The economic, social and environmental needs of the authority area addressed and clearly presented in a fashion which makes effective use of land and specifically promotes mixed use development, and take account of crossboundary and strategic issues. <br> Note: Meeting these needs should be subject to the caveats specified in Paragraph 14 of the NPPF (see above). | - Background evidence papers demonstrating requirements based on population forecasts, employment projections and community needs. <br> - Technical papers demonstrating how the aspirations and objectives of the DPD are related to the evidence, and how these are to be met, including from consultation and associated with the Duty to Co-operate. | Waste Needs Assessment, Capacity Gap Analysis and Requirement Study demonstrates the requirement for waste management facilities using arisings forecasts, capacity gap analysis and capacity forecasts based on a number of different information sources. WM-SD-047 and WM-SD-048 <br> The Waste Needs Assessment, Capacity Gap Analysis and Requirement Study has been consulted upon during the plan making production stage. WM-SD-047 and WM-SD-048 <br> The statement of consultation sets out the consultation responses, Council response and action taken resulting from the each consultation stage. WM-SD-020. WM-SD-026. WM-SD037. WM-SD-045. |
| NPPF Principles: Delivering sustainable development |  |  |
| 1. Building a strong, competitive economy (paras 18-22) |  |  |
| Set out a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which positively and proactively encourages sustainable economic growth (21), | - Articulation of a clear economic vision and strategy for the plan area linked to the Economic Strategy, LEP Strategy and marine policy documents where appropriate. | The Waste Management DPD supports the Council's and Leeds City Region Economic Partnership's economic strategies through the delivery of sufficient waste infrastructure to support the economic aspirations. |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}The Waste Needs Assessment, Capacity Gap Analysis and <br>

Requirement Study has also used a number of economic growth <br>
forecasts when calculating the waste arising's and capacity <br>
requirement forecasts. WM-SD-047 and WM-SD-048\end{array}\right|\)
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocate a range of suitable sites to meet the scale and type of retail, leisure, commercial, office, tourism, cultural, community services and residential development needed in town centres (23) | - An assessment of the need to expand (the) town centre(s), considering the needs of town centre uses. <br> - Primary and secondary shopping frontages identified and allocated. | N/A |
| 3. Supporting a prosperous rural economy (para 28) |  |  |
| Support sustainable economic growth in rural areas. Planning strategies should promote a strong rural economy by taking a positive approach to new development. (28) | - Where relevant include a policy or policies which support the sustainable growth of rural businesses; promote the development and diversification of agricultural businesses; support sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments, and support local services and facilities. | Policy W5 of the Waste Management DPD contains a Policy covers the development of agricultural waste management sites, encouraging the management of waste on-site / in-situ. The Council believes this encourages the development and diversification of agricultural business within the rural economy. WM-SD-001 |
| 4. Promoting sustainable transport (paras 29-41) |  |  |
| Facilitate sustainable development whilst contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives. (29) <br> Balance the transport system in favour of sustainable transport modes and give people a real choice about how they travel whilst recognising that different policies will be required in different communities and opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary from urban to rural areas. (29) <br> Encourage solutions which support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and congestion (29) including supporting a pattern of development which, where reasonable to do | - Joint working with adjoining authorities, transport providers and Government Agencies on infrastructure provision in order to support sustainable economic growth with particular regard to the facilities referred to in paragraph 31. <br> - Policies encouraging development which facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport and a range of transport choices where appropriate, particularly the criteria in paragraph 35. <br> - A spatial strategy and policy which seeks to reduce the need to travel through balancing housing and employment | The 'Area of Search' established the need to search for sites based on the proximity principle to ensure waste arisings are managed as close to source as possible. This will reduce the need to travel, reduce in HGV movements and subsequently positively impact upon reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and congestion. <br> The Site Assessment Report. WM-SD-016. WM-SD-024. WM-SD034 <br> Policy W1: Cross Boundary Working promotes modal shift in the movement of waste from road to more sustainable forms of transport. |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| so, facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport. (30) <br> Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure necessary to support sustainable development. (31) <br> Opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure. (32) <br> Ensure that developments which generate significant movement are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised (34) <br> Plans should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes for the movement of goods or people. (35) <br> Policies should aim for a balance of land uses so that people can be encouraged to minimize journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities. (37) <br> For larger scale residential developments in particular, planning policies should promote a mix of uses in order to provide opportunities to undertake day-to-day activities including work on site. Where practical, particularly within large-scale developments, key facilities such as primary schools and local shops should be located within walking distance of most properties. (38) | provision. <br> - Policy for major developments which promotes a mix of uses and access to key facilities by sustainable transport modes. <br> - If local (car parking) standards have been prepared, are they justified and necessary? (39) <br> - Identification and protection of sites and routes where infrastructure could be developed to widen transport choice linked to the Local Transport Plan. | WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities requires site specific impacts are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised on transport accessibility, capacity and the need to travel including investigating the potential of transporting waste by non-road transport modes. <br> The Council has also worked closely with transport infrastructure providers at each stage of plan production. |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The setting of car parking standards including provision for town centres. (39-40) <br> Local planning authorities should identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice. (41) |  |  |
| 5. Supporting high quality communications infrastructure (paras 42-46) |  |  |
| Support the expansion of the electronic communications networks, including telecommunications' masts and high speed broadband. (43) <br> Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new telecommunications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of telecommunications development or insist on minimum distances between new telecommunications development and existing development. (44) | - Policy supporting the expansion of electronic communications networks, including telecommunications and high speed broadband, noting the caveats in para 44. | N/A |
| 6. Delivering a wide choice of high quality housing (paras 47-55) |  |  |
| Identify and maintain a rolling supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against their housing requirements; this should include an additional buffer of 5\% or 20\% (moved forward from later in the plan period) to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. 20\% buffer applies where there has been persistent under delivery of housing(47) | - Identification of: <br> a) five years or more supply of specific deliverable sites; plus the buffer as appropriate <br> - Where this element of housing supply includes windfall sites, inclusion of 'compelling evidence' to justify their inclusion (48) <br> - A SHLAA | N/A |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identify a supply of developable sites or broad locations for years 6-10 and, where possible, years 11-15 (47). | - Identification of a supply of developable sites or broad locations for: a) years 610; b) years 11-15 |  |
| Illustrate the expected rate of housing delivery through a trajectory; and set out a housing implementation strategy describing how a five year supply will be maintained. (47) | - A housing trajectory <br> - Monitoring of completions and permissions (47) <br> - Updated and managed SHLAA. (47) | N/A |
| Set out the authority's approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances (47). | - Policy on the density of development. | N/A |
| Plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic and market trends, and needs of different groups (50) and caters for housing demand and the scale of housing supply to meet this demand. (para 159) | - Policy on planning for a mix of housing (including self-build, and housing for older people <br> - SHMA <br> - Identification of the size, type, tenure and range of housing) required in particular locations, reflecting local demand. (50) <br> - Evidence for housing provision based on up to date, objectively assessed needs. (50) <br> - Policy on affordable housing and consideration for the need for on-site provision or if off-site provision or financial contributions are sought, where these can these be justified and to what extent do they contribute to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities. (50) | N/A |
| In rural areas be responsive to local circumstances and plan housing development | - Consideration of allowing some market housing to facilitate the provision of | N/A |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to reflect local needs, particularly for affordable housing, including through rural exception sites where appropriate (54). <br> In rural areas housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities. | significant additional affordable housing to meet local needs. <br> - Consideration of the case for resisting inappropriate development of residential gardens. (This is discretionary)(para 53) <br> - Examples of special circumstances to allow new isolated homes listed at para 55. |  |
| 7. Requiring good design (paras 56-68) |  |  |
| Develop robust and comprehensive policies that set out the quality of development that will be expected for the area (58). | - Inclusion of policy or policies which seek to increase the quality of development through the principles set out at para 58 and approaches in paras 59-61, linked to the vision for the area and specific local issues | Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates the design, siting and external appearance is of a scale, mass, form and character appropriate to its location and landscape setting; and the facility's design, layout and construction meets the Council's environmental construction standards at a minimum of BREEAM 'excellent' where economically viable; and the facility's design, location, and operation maximises opportunities to recover energy and to make efficient use of heat and water resources; <br> Policy WDM4: Waste Management within Development also proposal for all new development demonstrate the design which minimises the disposal of waste and maximises the recovery and recycling of materials at the end of the development's life; |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence |  | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Promoting healthy communities (paras 6977) |  |  |  |
| Policies should aim to design places which: promote community interaction, including through mixed-use development; are safe and accessible environments; and are accessible developments (69). | - Inclusion of a policy or policies on inclusive communities. <br> - Promotion of opportunities for meetings between members of the community who might not otherwise come into contact with each other, including through mixed-use developments which bring together those who work, live and play in the vicinity; safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion; and accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas. (69) | N/A |  |
| Policies should plan positively for the provision and use of shared space, community facilities and other local services (70). | - Inclusion of a policy or policies addressing community facilities and local service. <br> - Positive planning for the provision and integration of community facilities and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments; safeguard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services; ensure that established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernize; and ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of | N/A |  |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | community facilities and good access to key services and infrastructure. |  |
| Identify specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities; and set locally derived standards to provide these (73). | - Identification of specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area. (73) <br> - A policy protecting existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land from development, with specific exceptions. (74) <br> - Protection and enhancement of rights of way and access. (75) | N/A |
| Enable local communities, through local and neighbourhood plans, to identify special protection green areas of particular importance to them - 'Local Green Space' (76-78). | - Policy enabling the protection of Local Green Spaces. (Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or reviewed, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period. The designation should only be used when it accords with the criteria in para 77). Policy for managing development within a local green space should be consistent with policy for Green Belts. (78) | N/A |
| 9. Protecting Green Belt land (paras 79-92) |  |  |
| Local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt, such as looking for opportunities to provide access; to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or to improve damaged and | - Where Green Belt policies are included, these should reflect the need to: <br> - Enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt. (81) <br> - Accord with criteria on boundary setting, and the need for clarity on | The Area of Search stipulates sites within the Green Belt would not be considered for waste management facilities. This is based on the proximity principle to ensure waste is managed as close as possible to the source of the arisings, reducing the need for transporting waste over long distances and any transportation on road is undertaken mainly on the primary |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| derelict land. (81) <br> Local planning authorities with Green Belts in their area should establish Green Belt boundaries in their Local Plans which set the framework for Green Belt and settlement policy. (83) <br> When drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. (84) <br> Boundaries should be set using 'physical features likely to be permanent' amongst other things (85) | the status of safeguarded land, in particular. (85) <br> - Specify that inappropriate development should not be approved except in very special circumstances. (87) <br> - Specify the exceptions to inappropriate development (89-90) <br> - Identify where very special circumstances might apply to renewable energy development. (91) | road network. WM-SD-001 |
| 10. Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change (paras 93-108) |  |  |
| Adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change taking full account of flood risk, coastal change and water supply and demand considerations. (94) | - Planning of new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions. <br> - Support for energy efficiency improvements to existing building. <br> - Local requirements for a building's sustainability which are consistent with the Government's zero carbon buildings policy. (95)) | Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates Waste development proposals will be permitted where The impacts of the proposed waste management facility are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised in terms of climate change. <br> Policy WDM 2 also stiplautes the facility's design, layout and construction meets the Council's environmental construction standards at a minimum of BREEAM 'excellent' where economically viable. |
| Help increase the use and supply of renewable and low carbon energy through a strategy, policies maximising renewable and low carbon energy, and identification of key energy | - A strategy and policies to promote and maximise energy from renewable and low carbon sources, <br> - Identification of suitable areas for | Policy WDM4: Waste Management within Development stipulates all new development (incuding waste management facilities) must demonstrate Energy efficient design, maximising, the on-site generation of electricity from the |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Support of integrated coastal management (ICM) in coastal areas in line with the requirements of the MPS |  |
| Manage risk from coastal change (106) | - Identification of where the coast is likely to experience physical changes and identify Coastal Change Management Areas, and clarity on what development will be allowed in such areas. <br> - Provision for development and infrastructure that needs to be relocated from such areas, based on SMPs and Marine Plans, where appropriate. | N/A |
| 11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment (paras 109-125) |  |  |
| Protect valued landscapes (109) | - A strategy and policy or policies to create, protect, enhance and manage networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure. <br> - Policy which seeks to minimise the loss of higher quality agricultural land and give great weight to protecting the landscape and scenic beauty of National Parks, the Broads and AONBs. | The site assessment examines the impact upon landscape during 'Sift 1' when assessing Environmental Designation. Visual and Landscape Impact also assessed again in 'Sift 2' during the detailed site assessment. WM-SD-016. WM-SD-024. WM-SD034 <br> Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates: <br> Site specific impacts are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised on Designated protected structures or areas (whether by statute or by recognition within the Local Plan) of landscape, historical or archaeological interest or nature conservation including the need to submit a heritage statement alongside planning applications; and |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Visual and landscape amenity <br> The design, siting and external appearance is of a scale, mass, form and character appropriate to its location and landscape setting; |
| Prevent unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability (109) | - Policy which seeks development which is appropriate for its location having regard to the effects of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity. | Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates: <br> The impacts of the proposed waste management facility are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised in terms of: <br> Environmental, social or economic effects; <br> Human Health; <br> Noise, vibrations, dust, odour; <br> Water, ground, light or air pollution; and <br> Climate Change <br> Objective 3 states: <br> To ensure that the expansion of existing facilities and the development of new waste facilities support the planned growth and waste needs of the Bradford community and are delivered in a manner which protects the District's environmental assets and safeguards human health; |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planning policies should minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity (117) <br> Planning policies should plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale across local authority boundaries (117) | - Identification and mapping of local ecological networks and geological conservation interests. <br> - Policies to promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the recovery of priority species | The site assessment examines the impact upon landscape during 'Sift 1' when assessing Environmental Designation. WM-SD-016. WM-SD-024. WM-SD-034 <br> Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates: <br> The impacts of the proposed waste management facility are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised in terms of: <br> Ecological / Biodiversity impacts have also been assessed for each site, and guidance set out in each allocation statement where applicable. |
| 12. Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (paras 126-141) |  |  |
| Include a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk (126) | - A strategy for the historic environment based on a clear understanding of the cultural assets in the plan area, including assets most at risk. <br> - A map/register of historic assets <br> - A policy or policies which promote new development that will make a positive contribution to character and distinctiveness. (126) | Heritage has been assessed in Site Asseessment Report, both within 'Sift 1' and a more detailed assessment at 'Sift 2'. <br> Policy WDM 2: Assessing All Applications for New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities stipulates Waste development proposals will be permitted where Site specific impacts are adequately assessed and the applicant can demonstrate that adverse effects are minimised on Designated protected structures or areas (whether by statute or by recognition within the Local Plan) of landscape, historical or archaeological interest or nature conservation including the need to submit a heritage statement alongside planning applications; |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Each site allocation proposal statement also contains heritage guidance where necessary. This heritage guidance has been produced in conjunction with English Heritage (now Historic England). |
| 13. Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals (paras 142-149) |  |  |
| It is important that there is a sufficient supply of material to provide the infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods that the country needs. However, since minerals are a finite natural resource, and can only be worked where they are found, it is important to make best use of them to secure their long-term conservation (142) <br> Minerals planning authorities should plan for a steady and adequate supply of industrial materials (146) | Account taken of the matters raised in relation to paragraph 143 and 145 , including matters in relation to land in national / international designations; landbanks; the defining of Minerals Safeguarding Areas; wider matters relating to safeguarding; approaches if non-mineral development is necessary within Minerals Safeguarding Areas; the setting of environmental criteria; development of noise limits; reclamation of land; plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates. This could include evidence of co-operation with neighbouring and more distant authorities. | Policy WDM4: Waste Management within Development stipulates that where demolition needs to take place before construction, as far as possible, construction and demolition waste should be recovered or recycled, preferably on-site. |
| Justified: The plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence. <br> To be 'justified' a DPD needs to be: <br> - Founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving: research / fact finding demonstrating how the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts; and evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area. <br> - The most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. |  |  |
| Participation <br> Has the consultation process allowed for | The consultation statement. This should set out what consultation was undertaken, | Statements of Consultation have been produced and provides an overview of the extensive consultations that have been |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| effective engagement of all interested parties? | when, with whom and how it has influenced <br> the plan. The statenent should show that <br> efforts have been made to consult hard to <br> reach groups, key stakeholders etc. | carried out, including key stakeholders, and how it has <br> Reference SCl |
| influenced the plan. |  |  |
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## Soundness Test and Key Requirements

## Alternatives

Can it be shown that the LPA's chosen approach is the most appropriate given the reasonable alternatives? Have the reasonable alternatives been considered and is there a clear audit trail showing how and why the preferred approach was arrived at? Where a balance had to be struck in taking decisions between competing alternatives, is it clear how and why the decisions were taken?
Does the sustainability appraisal show how the different options perform and is it clear that sustainability considerations informed the content of the DPD from the start?

Possible Evidence

## Evidence Provided

- Reports and consultation documents produced in the early stages setting out how alternatives were developed and evaluated, and the reasons for selecting the preferred strategy, and reasons for rejecting the alternatives. This should include options covering not just the spatial strategy, but also the quantum of development, strategic policies and development management policies.
- An audit trail of how the evidence base, consultation and SA have influenced the plan.
- Sections of the SA Report showing the assessment of options and alternatives.
- Reports on how decisions on the inclusion of policy were made.
- Sections of the consultation document demonstrating how options were developed and appraised.
- Any other documentation showing how alternatives were developed and evaluated, including a report on how sustainability appraisal has influenced the choice of strategy and the content of policies.

The Sustainability Appraisal [WM-SD-002. WM-SD-003. WM-SD004] summarises the development and refining of alternatives and assessing effect of the options and draft policies. Earlier iterations of the SA are also available:

Publication Draft SA

WM-SD-011. WM-SD-012. WM-SD-013. WM-SD-014. WM-SD015.

Preferred Approach Revised Chapter 5 SA:
WM-SD-022
Preferred Approach SA
WM-SD-028. WM-SD-029. WM-SD-030. WM-SD-031. WM-SD032

Issues and Options / Scoping:

WM-SD-039. WM-SD-040

The Issues and Options Consultation report identified the strategic issues for the Plan and sought views on a range of options for addressing these. WM-SD-038

The Preferred Approach [WM-SD-027]and Preferred Approach Revised Chapter 5 [WM-SD-021] reports review the consultation responses to these options and identifies the preferred options, which are then developed into the vision, objectives, policies and sites. The supporting Site Assessment
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | [WM-SD-016. WM-SD-024. WM-SD-034] provides the site appraisal reports for the sites identified in this iteration of the Plan together with alternative sites that were considered but not taken forward. |
| Effective: the plan should be deliverable over its period a priorities. <br> To be 'effective' a DPD needs to: <br> - Be deliverable <br> - Demonstrate sound infrastructure delivery planning <br> - Have no regulatory or national planning barriers to its delivery <br> - Have delivery partners who are signed up to it <br> - Be coherent with the strategies of neighbouring authorities <br> - Demonstrate how the Duty to Co-operate has been fulfilled <br> - Be flexible <br> - Be able to be monitored |  |  |
| Deliverable and Coherent <br> - Is it clear how the policies will meet the Plan's vision and objectives? Are there any obvious gaps in the policies, having regard to the objectives of the DPD? <br> - Are the policies internally consistent? <br> - Are there realistic timescales related to the objectives? <br> - Does the DPD explain how its key policy objectives will be achieved? | - Sections of the DPD which address delivery, the means of delivery and the timescales for key developments and initiatives. <br> - Confirmation from the relevant agencies that they support the objectives and the identified means of delivery, such as evidence that the plans and programmes of other bodies have been taken into account (e.g. Water Resources Management Plans and Marine Plans). <br> - Information in the local development scheme, or provided separately, about the scope and content (actual and | The Waste Management DPD identifies responsibilities for implementation of the Plan, provides an implementation and monitoring framework. <br> All policies are internally consistent due to the Waste Management DPD being prepared as a whole. The structure also lends itself to ensure consistency. <br> Realistic timescales to meet the objectives are outlined in Implementation and Monitoring section. Not all objectives have specific timescales, as they are to cover the whole plan period. <br> The Council's muncipal waste strategies have been considered in the policy context of the Waste Management DPD to ensure |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | intended) of each DPD showing how they combine to provide a coherent policy structure. <br> - Section in the DPD that shows the linkages between the objectives and the corresponding policies, and consistency between policies (such as through a matrix). | objectives of each plan are complementary. WM-SD-055. WM-SD-056 |
| Infrastructure Delivery <br> - Have the infrastructure implications of the policies clearly been identified? <br> - Are the delivery mechanisms and timescales for implementation of the policies clearly identified? <br> - Is it clear who is going to deliver the required infrastructure and does the timing of the provision complement the timescale of the policies? | - A section or sections of the DPD where infrastructure needs are identified and the proposed solutions put forward. <br> - A schedule setting out responsibilities for delivery, mechanisms and timescales, and related to a CIL schedule where appropriate. <br> - Confirmation from infrastructure providers that they support the solutions proposed and the identified means and timescales for their delivery, or a plan for resolving issues. <br> - Demonstrable plan-wide viability, particularly in relation to the delivery of affordable housing and the role of a CIL schedule. | The Site Assessment included criteria which assessed the accessibility to the transport networks, with access to non-road (rail and waterway) transport given priority. The site allocation proposal statement within the DPD also considers the potential of connecting into the national grid. WM-SD-016. WM-SD-024. WM-SD-034 <br> Infrastructure providers have been consulted throughout the plan making process. Infrastructure providers have not raised any capacity related issues relating to the sites proposed within the Waste Management DPD. WM-SD-005. WM-SD-017. |
| Co-ordinated Planning <br> Does the DPD reflect the concept of spatial planning? Does it go beyond traditional land use planning by bringing together and integrating policies for the development and use of land with other policies and programmes from a variety of agencies / organisations that influence the nature of places and how they | - Sections of the DPD that reflect the plans or strategies of the local authority and other bodies <br> - Policies which seek to pull together different policy objectives <br> - Expressions of support/representations from bodies responsible for other | Section 2 of the DPD highlights the importance of cross boundary and collaborative working with local authorities, groups and bodies. WM-SD-001. <br> Extensive work has also been undertaken with statutory bodies in discharging the Duty to Cooperate. WM-SD-005. WM-SD-017. <br> Consultation has been undertaken with statutory and non- |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | indicators of success of the strategy, and the remedial actions which will be taken if adjustment is required. |  |
| Co-operation <br> - Is there sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the Duty to Co-operate has been undertaken appropriately for the plan being examined? <br> - Is it clear who is intended to implement each part of the DPD? Where the actions required are outside the direct control of the LPA, is there evidence that there is the necessary commitment from the relevant organisation to the implementation of the policies? | - A succinct Duty to Co-operate Statement which flows from the strategic issues that have been addressed jointly. A 'tick box' approach or a collection of correspondence is not sufficient, and it needs to be shown (where appropriate) if joint plan-making arrangements have been considered, what decisions were reached and why. <br> - The Duty to Co-operate Statement could highlight: the sharing of ideas, evidence and pooling of resources; the practical policy outcomes of co-operation; how decisions were reached and why; and evidence of having effectively cooperated to plan for issues which need other organisations to deliver on, common objectives for elements of strategy and policy; a memorandum of understanding; aligned or joint core strategies and liaison with other consultees as appropriate. | Extensive work has also been undertaken with statutory bodies in discharging the Duty to Cooperate. WM-SD-005. WM-SD-017. <br> Consultation has been undertaken with statutory and nonstatutory bodies at all stages of production of the DPD and supporting evidence base documents. |
| Monitoring <br> - Does the DPD contain targets, and milestones which relate to the delivery of the policies, (including housing trajectories where the DPD contains housing allocations)? <br> - Is it clear how targets are to be measured (by | - Sections of the DPD setting out indicators, targets and milestones <br> - Sections of the current annual monitoring report which report on indicators, targets, milestones and trajectories | The Plan provides the framework for monitoring implementation of the DPD), and future Monitoring Reports will enable progress to be evaluated. |
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| Soundness Test and Key Requirements | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| when, how and by whom) and are these linked to the production of the annual monitoring report? <br> - Is it clear how the significant effects identified in the sustainability appraisal report will be taken forward in the ongoing monitoring of the implementation of the plan, through the annual monitoring report? | - Reference to any other reports or technical documents which contain information on the delivery of policies <br> - Sections of the current annual monitoring report and the sustainability appraisal report setting out the framework for monitoring, including monitoring the effects of the DPD against the sustainability appraisal |  |
| Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework. <br> The DPD should not contradict or ignore national policy. Where there is a departure, there must be clear and convincing reasoning to justify the approach taken. |  |  |
| - Does the DPD contain any policies or proposals which are not consistent with national policy and, if so, is there local justification? <br> - Does the DPD contain policies that do not add anything to existing national guidance? If so, why have these been included? | - Sections of the DPD which explain where and how national policy has been elaborated upon and the reasons. <br> - Studies forming evidence for the DPD or, where appropriate, other information which provides the rationale for departing from national policy. <br> - Evidence provided from the sustainability appraisal (including reference to the sustainability report) and/or from the results of community involvement. <br> - Where appropriate, evidence of consistency with national marine policy as articulated in the UK Marine Policy Statement <br> - Reports or copies of correspondence as to how representations have been | All policies within the Waste Management are consistent with national policy; See Waste Management DPD Background Paper for compliance table. WM-SD-057 <br> Section 2 of the DPD covers the key national planning policy guidance considered in the production of the Plan. WM-SD-001 |
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## Planning policy for traveller sites

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites was published in 23 March 2012 and came into effect on 27 March 2012. Circular 01/06: Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites and Circular 04/07: Planning for Travelling Showpeople have been cancelled. Planning Policy for Traveller Sites should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework, including the implementation policies of that document.

The government's aim in relation to planning for traveller sites is:
'To ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic life of travellers whilst respecting the interests of the settled community'

Government's aims in respect of traveller sites are:

- That local planning authorities (LPAs) make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning
- That LPAs work collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites
- Plan for sites over a reasonable timescale
- Plan-making should protect green belt land from inappropriate development
- Promote more private traveller site provision whilst recognising that there will always be those travellers who cannot provide their own sites
- Aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.

In addition local planning authorities should:

- Include fair, realistic and inclusive policies
- Increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply
- Reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities in plan-making and decision-taking
- Enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure
- Have due regard to protection of local amenity and local environment
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Policy A: Using evidence to plan positively and manage development (para 6) |  |  |
| Early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities. | - Early and effective engagement undertaken, including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups. | N/A |
| Co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas. | - Demonstration of a clear understanding of the needs of the traveller community over the lifespan of your development plan. <br> - Collaborative working with neighbouring local planning authorities. <br> - A robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of your local plan and make planning decisions. | N/A |
| Policy B: Planning for traveller sites (paras 711) |  |  |
| Set pitch targets for gypsies and travellers and plot targets for travelling showpeople which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of travellers in your area, working collaboratively with neighbouring LPAs. <br> Set criteria to guide land supply allocations where there is identified need. | - Identification, and annual update, of a supply of specific, deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years worth of sites against locally set target. Identification of a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth for years 6-10, and, where possible, for years 11-15. <br> - An assessment of the need for traveller sites, and where an unmet need has been demonstrated a supply of specific, deliverable sites been identified. | N/A |
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\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Policy Expectations } & \text { Possible Evidence } & \text { Evidence Provided } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Ensure that traveller sites are sustainable } \\
\text { economically, socially and environmentally. }\end{array} & \bullet \quad \text { Policy which takes into account criteria a-h of para 11 } & \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Policy C: Sites in rural areas and the } \\
\text { countryside (para 12) }\end{array} & & \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { When assessing the suitability of sites in rural } \\
\text { or semi-rural settings LPAs should ensure that } \\
\text { the scale of such sites do not dominate the } \\
\text { nearest settled community. }\end{array}
$$ \& \& N/A <br>
\hline Policy D: Rural exception sites (para 13) \& \& <br>
\hline \begin{array}{l}If there is a lack of affordable land to meet <br>
local traveller needs, LPAs in rural areas, where <br>
viable and practical, should consider allocating <br>
and releasing sites solely for affordable <br>

travellers' sites.\end{array} \& shall be used for affordable traveller sites in perpetuity.\end{array}\right]\)| N/A |
| :--- |
| Policy E: Traveller sites in Green Belt (paras <br> 14-15) |
| Traveller sites (both permanent and <br> temporary) in the Green Belt are inappropriate <br> development. |
| Exceptional limited alteration to the defined <br> Green Belt boundary (which might be to <br> accommodate a site inset within the Green <br> Belt) to meet a specific, identified need for a <br> traveller site ... should be done only through |
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| the plan-making process. |  |  |
| Policy F: Mixed planning use traveller sites <br> (paras 16-18) |  |  |
| Local planning authorities should consider, <br> wherever possible, including traveller sites <br> suitable for mixed residential and business <br> uses, having regard to the safety and amenity <br> of the occupants and neighbouring residents. | -N.B. Mixed use should not be permitted on rural exception sites <br> business use (having regard to safety and amenity of the occupants <br> and neighbouring residents), or separate sites in close proximity to <br> one another. | N/A |
| Policy G: Major development projects (para <br> 19) | Where a major development proposal requires the permanent or <br> temporary relocation of a traveller site, the identification of a site <br> or sites suitable for re-location of the community. | N/A |
| Local planning authorities should work with the <br> planning applicant and the affected traveller <br> community to identify a site or sites suitable <br> for relocation of the community if a major <br> development proposal requires the permanent <br> or temporary relocation of a traveller site. | • |  |
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## Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist

## Integration of marine and terrestrial planning

As the UK marine area and marine plan area boundaries extend up to the level of mean high water spring tides while terrestrial planning boundaries generally extend to mean low water spring tides (including estuaries), the marine plan area will physically overlap with that of some terrestrial plan. Local authorities with any tidal frontage, even if far inland and not conventionally regarded as coastal, must therefore take full account of the MMO, the MPS and marine plans under S. 58 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act and the Duty to Co-operate in Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011. A full list of the local planning authorities whose areas overlap with the UK marine area appears in Appendix One.

Furthermore, the Duty to Co-Operate requires all local planning authorities, even if landlocked, to take account, where relevant, of the MMO's plans and activities when preparing their Local Plans. Finally, the NPPF requires LPAs to take the MPS into account under the tests of soundness (specifically, to test if an emerging DPD is consistent with national policy, which includes the MPS).

The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (the Act) provided for the introduction of a marine planning system for England's inshore and offshore marine area, establishing the Secretary of State as the Marine Planning Authority for these areas. The Act also provided for the establishment of the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and for the Secretary of State to delegate various planning functions. The planning functions including preparation and review were delegated to the MMO in 2010. The Act also provided for the adoption of the UK Marine Policy Statement (MPS). The MPS was adopted on 18 March 2011 and provides the policy framework for marine planning and for all decisions likely to affect the marine area.

There are eleven plan areas in English waters, for each of which a Marine Plan will be prepared by the MMO and adopted by the Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

In practical terms, all activities undertaken in the marine area require land based infrastructure, without which our ability to benefit economically and socially from activities in the marine area would be extremely limited.

The UK Government's vision for the marine environment, as articulated in the MPS, is:
'clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse oceans and seas'.
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In the absence of a marine plan prepared by the MMO and adopted by the Secretary of State the MPS is the relevant marine policy document. Where a marine plan has been adopted both the MPS and the Marine Plan are relevant marine policy documents for the marine plan area.

As articulated in the Marine and Coastal Act and the MPS, the Government aims for the MPS and marine planning systems to sit alongside and interact with existing planning regimes across the UK. Specifically, s. 58 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act requires all ${ }^{1}$ public bodies to:

- take authorisation or enforcement decisions that affect or might affect the UK marine area in accordance with the MPS and relevant Marine Plans, unless relevant considerations indicate otherwise
- state their reasons where authorisation or enforcement decisions are not taken in accordance with the MPS and relevant Marine Plans
- have regard to the MPS and relevant Marine Plans when taking decisions that affect or might affect the UK marine area which are not authorisation or enforcement decisions ${ }^{2}$

In addition, the MPS seeks integration of marine planning and the terrestrial planning system through:

- Consistency between marine and terrestrial policy documents and guidance
- Liaison between respective responsible authorities for terrestrial and marine planning, including in plan development, implementation and review stages
- Sharing the evidence base and data where relevant and appropriate so as to achieve consistency in the data used in plan making and decisions

These aims are further supported by footnote 36 in the NPPF.

[^0]
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key requirements under the Duty to Co-Operate |  |  |
| Consistency between marine and terrestrial policy documents and guidance | - Demonstration of consistency of aim between relevant local plan policies and marine policy documents (i.e. the MPS and any relevant adopted marine plans) <br> - Proof of collaborative working with the MMO and that the MPS has been taken into account. | N/A |
| Liaison between respective authorities responsible for terrestrial and marine planning, including in plan development, implementation and review stages | - Early and effective policy development engagement undertaken, including discussions with the MMO <br> - Evidence of iteration of policies and plans as a result of engagement with the MMO <br> - Evidence of engagement with the MMO in relation to monitoring, implementation and throughout the policy cycle <br> - Support of integrated coastal management (ICM) in coastal areas in line with the requirements of the MPS | N/A |
| Sharing the evidence base and data where relevant and appropriate so as to achieve consistency in the data used in plan making and decisions | - Evidence that the LPA has shared or provided relevant data to the MMO that can help inform Marine Plans or MPS review <br> - Demonstration that local plan policy has been underpinned by data provided by the MMO or the MPS <br> - Explicit cross-referencing in local plan to MPS, the MMO, their | N/A |
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | roles, and relevant marine plans |  |
| Marine Policy Statement- Chapter 2: General Principles for Decision-Making ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| Sections 2.1 -2.2: The UK vision for the marine environment |  |  |
| The UK vision for the marine environment ('clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse oceans and seas') <br> Achieving the vision through marine planning | - Reference in DPD where appropriate to UK vision for the marine environment <br> - Contribution to the vision through local plan policies and supporting text | N/A |
| Section 2.4: Considering benefits and adverse effects in marine planning |  |  |
| Consider benefits and adverse effects of plan policies | - Consideration of benefits and adverse effects of policy on the marine area as appropriate within the DPD's sustainability appraisal | N/A |
| Section 2.5: Economic, social and environmental considerations | $\bullet$ |  |
| Contribute to the objectives of relevant | - Reference to relevant EU Directives in DPD and sustainability | N/A |

[^1]
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU Directives (Marine Strategy Framework Directive and Water Framework Directive) | appraisal <br> - Consideration of contribution of DPD policies to the objectives of relevant EU Directives |  |
| Marine Policy Statement- Chapter 3: Policy Objectives for Key Activities |  |  |
| 3.1 Marine Protected Areas |  |  |
| Incorporate identified areas and features of importance for nature conservation <br> Activities or developments that may result in adverse impacts on biodiversity should be designed or located to avoid such impacts | - Identification of relevant areas and features of importance for nature conservation within relevant marine plan area(s) <br> - Consideration of impacts of policy and/or terrestrial development on those areas and features of importance <br> - Measures to mitigate, monitor and manage negative impacts on those areas and features of importance | N/A |
| 3.4 Ports and shipping |  |  |
| Take into account and seek to minimise any negative impacts on shipping activity, freedom of navigation and navigational safety <br> Protect the efficiency and resilience of continuing port operations | - Evidence that policy with potential impact on ports and shipping minimises negative impacts on sector <br> - Where relevant, evidence that economic, employment and transport policies are protective of ports and shipping sector | N/A |
| 3.8 Fisheries |  |  |
| Consider potential economic, social | - Where relevant, evidence that other policies minimise negative | N/A |
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| Policy Expectations | Possible Evidence | Evidence Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and environmental impacts of other developments on fishing activity | impacts on fishing activity and/or aquaculture |  |
| 3.9 Aquaculture |  |  |
| Consider the benefits of encouraging the development of efficient, competitive and sustainable aquaculture industries | - Where relevant, evidence that the benefits of aquaculture industry development have been considered | N/A |
| 3.10 Surface water management and waste water treatment and disposal |  |  |
| Maximise opportunities for coexistence of waste water infrastructure with other activities in the marine environment | - Reference to and consideration of the co-existence of waste water infrastructure with other marine activities, including the potential for waste water infrastructure to mitigate marine impacts through design or location | N/A |
| 3.11 Tourism and recreation |  |  |
| Consider the potential for tourism and recreation in the marine environment and the benefits this will bring to the economy and local communities | - Where relevant, reference to marine tourism and recreation <br> - Evidence that the potential for marine tourism and recreation has been recognised in plan-making | N/A |
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## Appendix One

This is an alphabetical list of all local planning authorities in England whose area overlaps with the UK marine area.

| Adur | City of Westminster | Havant | North Tyneside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allerdale | Colchester | Havering | North York Moors National |
| Arun | Copeland | Horsham | Park |
| Babergh | Cornwall | Hounslow | Northumberland |
| Barking and Dagenham | County Durham | Huntingdonshire | Norwich |
| Barrow-in-Furness | Dartford | Ipswich | Poole |
| Basildon | Doncaster | Isle of Wight | Preston |
| Bassetlaw | Dover | Isles of Scilly | Purbeck |
| Bexley | East Cambridgeshire | Kensington and Chelsea | Redcar and Cleveland |
| Blackpool | East Devon | King's Lynn and West Norfolk | Richmond upon Thames |
| Boston | East Lindsey | Lake District National Park | Rochford |
| Bournemouth | East Riding of Yorkshire | Lambeth | Rother |
| Broadland | Eastbourne | Lancaster | Scarborough |
| Broads Authority | Eastleigh | Lewes | Sedgemoor |
| Canterbury | Exeter | Lewisham | Sefton |
| Carlisle | Exmoor National Park | Liverpool | Selby |
| Castle Point | Fareham | Maidstone | Shepway |
| Chelmsford | Fenland | Maldon | South Cambridgeshire |
| Cheshire West and Chester | Fylde | Medway | South Downs National Park |
| Chichester | Gateshead | Middlesbrough | South Gloucestershire |
| Chorley | Gloucester | New Forest | South Hams |
| Christchurch | Gosport | New Forest National Park | South Holland |
| City of London | Gravesham | Newark and Sherwood | South Lakeland |
| City of Brighton and Hove | Great Yarmouth | Newcastle upon Tyne | South Norfolk |
| City of Bristol | Greenwich | Newham | South Ribble |
| City of Kingston upon Hull | Halton | North Devon | South Somerset |
| City of Peterborough | Hambleton | North East Lincolnshire | South Tyneside |
| City of Plymouth | Hammersmith and Fulham | North Lincolnshire | Southend-on-Sea |
| City of Portsmouth | Hartlepool | North Norfolk | Southwark |
| City of Southampton | Hastings | North Somerset | Stockton-on-Tees |
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Stroud
Suffolk Coastal
Sunderland
Swale
Taunton Deane
Teignbridge
Tendring
Test Valley
Thanet
Thurrock
Tonbridge and Malling
Torbay
Torridge
Tower Hamlets
Wandsworth
Warrington
Waveney
Wealden
West Devon
West Dorset
West Lancashire
West Lindsey
West Somerset
Weymouth and Portland
Winchester
Wirral
Worthing
Wyre
York


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Like the Duty to Co-Operate, no distinction is made by the Marine and Coastal Access Act between public authorities with a tidal frontage and those without. Emphasis is placed on the likelihood of the decision being made affecting the marine area.
    ${ }^{2}$ For example, decisions about what representations they should make as a consultee or about what action they should carry out themselves.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ As the Marine Policy Statement was not targeted specifically at terrestrial planning authorities, some of its sections are, in practice, relevant to marine planning authorities only and/or there is already a comprehensive policy framework governing terrestrial development (e.g. energy infrastructure), Where this is considered to be the case, i.e. where it is considered likely that a terrestrial planning DPD would be found sound without referencing that section, the section in question has been omitted from this checklist.

